arXiv:1702.00117v2 [hep-th] 6 Feb 2017

Stochastic quantization and holographic Wilsonian renormalization group of free massive fermion

Sung pil Moon[1]

Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

Abstract

We extend the relation between stochastic quantization and Holographic Wilsonian Renormalization Group to a massive fermion case in Euclidean AdS space. The original suggestion about the explicit relation between the two theories is in arXiv:1209.2242. To give a fermion bulk action the Dirichelt boundary condition and the Neumann boundary condition, there are some additional terms to the bulk action. We have confirmed that the relation between the two theories also hold for the massive fermion case.

[1]e-mail:mlnow@naver.com

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Holographic Wilsonian Renormalization Group of massive fermions **5**
2.1 Set up for explicit calculation 5
2.2 Equations of motion and the solutions of the fermionic fields 7
2.3 Additional boundary terms and boundary condition 9
2.4 Flow equation and a two-point correlation 9

3 Stochastic quantization for a massive fermion **11**
3.1 Langevin approach 11
3.2 Fokker-Plank approach 12

1 Introduction

AdS/CFT correspondence has provided much understanding on various strongly coupled field theories by employing classical gravity theories defined in asymptotically AdS spacetime. A certain gravitational theory's partition function in asymptotially AdS_{d+1} spacetime is related to that of a conformal field theory(CFT) defined on d-dimensional flat spacetime with a certain deformation, and then the states and operators in the CFT correspond to the normalizable and the non-normalizable exitations in the gravitational theory respectively.[4] For example, the scalar field theory in AdS_{d+1} behaves

$$\phi(r) = \phi_0 r^{h_-} + \phi_1 r^{h_+}, \tag{1.1}$$

as the radial coordinate of AdS space 'r' approaches its boundary i.e. $r \to 0$, where $h_\pm = \frac{d}{4} \pm \frac{\sqrt{d^2+4m^2}}{4}$ and m is the scalar field mass. Turnning on the non-normalizable mode of the field plays a role of turnning on an operator in the dual CFT and its coefficent ϕ_0 corresponds to a source in the CFT generating functional. On the other hand, the normalizable mode of solution turns on a state and the coefficient, ϕ_1 is related to one-point function in the dual CFT.

The relation about states and operators are not just well defiend on AdS boundary but at any finite $r = \epsilon$ hypersurface such a relation is hold. The dual CFT defined on the $r = \epsilon$ hypersurface is interpreted as that in an intermediate energy scale and an evolution of the CFT partition function along the r direction is renormalization group of the dual CFT because the radial direction of the AdS space is related to an energy scale of the dual CFT. It is also understood by looking at the famous UV-IR relation. Once one compute a generating functional of the dual CFT by employing the dual gravity theory, then there are many divergent terms in it. The $r = 0$ divergences are UV-divergence in the dual CFT, which are proportional to a positive power of $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ whereas $r = \infty$ region is known to be IR region.

The intermediate state can be interpreted by Wilsonian approach.[5] For renormalizable QFT theory, to extract relevant qunatity at an intermediate energy of the system $p^0 = \Lambda$ one

seperates a fields as $M = M(p^0 < \Lambda) + M(\Lambda < p^0 < \Lambda_0)$, and integrates out high energy fields $\Lambda_0 > p^0 > \Lambda$ as follow,

$$Z_{CFT} = \int DM_{p^0<\Lambda} DM_{\Lambda_0>p^0>\Lambda} e^{-S-\int \phi_0 \mathcal{O}} = \int DM_{p^0<\Lambda} e^{-S(\Lambda)-\int \tilde{\phi}\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} \tag{1.2}$$

where M represents QFT fields and Λ_0 is UV cut-off and $\mathcal{O}$ represents a deformation at $p^0 = \Lambda^0$, and ϕ_0, $\tilde{\phi}$ represent source terms at the scale $p^0 = \Lambda^0$ and $p^0 = \Lambda$. In the last equation, the theory is defined up to $p^0 = \Lambda$ so $S(\Lambda)$ represents effective action at Λ scale. Because high energy field integration where $\Lambda_0 > p^0 > \Lambda$ is already performed in the last equation, $S(\Lambda)$ contains the degree of freedom of high energy fields. The evolution of the theory as scale Λ_0 runs is called the Wilsonian Renormalization Group flow. The Wilsonian Renormalization Group flow provides the relavant quantities at an intermediate energy scale and if one takes $\Lambda \to \infty$ then could get the partition function with UV-completion.

In AdS/CFT context, M correponds AdS boundary fields and ϕ_0 and $\tilde{\phi}$ provide the boundary condition for bulk field ϕ at $r = 0$ and $r = \epsilon$ respectively. If one uses Wilsonian method to gravity partition function, and seperates bulk fields by its length scale

$$Z_{GRA} = \int D\phi_{\epsilon_0<r<\epsilon} D\phi_{r>\epsilon} e^{-S_{bulk}-S_B(\phi(\epsilon))} = \int D\phi_{r>\epsilon} e^{-S_{bulk}-S_B(\tilde{\phi})}, \tag{1.3}$$

then classical limit of the total action $S_{tot} \equiv S_{bulk} + S_B$ corresponds the Wilsonian effective action $S(\Lambda)$.[6] The result of classical limit of the total action contains only effectively deformed parts from the original bulk action, and it is related to deformed single trace operator $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ in boundary field theory. Especially couplings of $\tilde{\phi}$,$\tilde{\phi}^2$, $\tilde{\phi}^3$... in S_B correspond the expectation values of $\mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{O}^2$ $\mathcal{O}^3$... repectively.

Because the integrated out degree of freedom contributes the boundary action S_B so it gives boundary condition to the bulk action for the region $r > \epsilon$. We perform variation of the bulk action and request the equations of motion for the bulk fields, total derivative terms appear and give the relation between conjugate momentum of the bulk field and the boundary effective action S_B at ϵ boundary. By using the fact that the total action S_{tot} is invariant under the choice of arbitrary ϵ, taking derivative respect to ϵ gives evolution equation for S_B and it is a flow equation in AdS space. From the definition of conjugate momentum at boundary, one can construct Hamiltonian by the Legendre transform of Lagrangian. The flow euqation can be written as a form of Hamilton-Jacobi equation [1] ,

$$\partial_\epsilon \psi_H(\phi, \epsilon) = -\int_{r=\epsilon} d^d x \mathcal{H}_{RG} \psi_H(\phi, r) \tag{1.4}$$

where H_{RG} is Hamiltonian and $\psi_H \equiv e^{-S_B}$. Then solutions of the Hamilton-jacobi equation provide couplings in $r = \epsilon$ hyper surface.

Stochastic quantization, on the contrary, is a different method for quantization of field theory from the other quantization methods of fields such as canonical or path integral quantizations. [8] A unique feature of stochastic quantization is the existance of the new coordinate, a fictitious time t. Stochastic quantization is a technique to quantize a field theory using relaxation process, satisfying Langevin equation, a type of diffusion equation coupled to a thermal

reservoir. It starts from an initial fictitious time $t = t_0$, where the system is in non-equilibrium state. When, however, t goes to infinity the system sattles down to equilibrium. The main idea of stochastic quantization is that this equilibrium state partition function has the same mathematical form of a partition function of Euclidean quantum field theory. In this equilibrium limit, statistical average values of a certain physical quantity of the system is related to Euclidean vacuum expectation values of the quantity.

In stochastic quantization, a new field appears, and it is called noise field η. This field shows randomness providing the property of stochastic system, and mediate interaction between the heat reservoir and the system. The best known example for such system is Braowian motion of a test particle. The particle's motion in this system is called Markiovian process. The Markovian process is defined as that when the test particle collides with the particles of the background fluids, the probability of the particle's velocity after collision does not depend on its velocities in its earlier times, but only depend on that just before the collision. In addition to the Markobian process, it is assumed that the probability distribution of the noise η has Gaussian form,

$$Z_{SQ} = \int D\eta \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4k}\int \eta^2(x,t)d^n x dt\right] \tag{1.5}$$

and then the correlation functions between the noise fields are delta-function form as

$$\langle \eta(x,t)\eta(x',t')\rangle \propto k\delta(x-x')\delta(t-t'). \tag{1.6}$$

Relaxation process of stochastic fields is given by Langevin equation, a equation of motion for a particle in Brownian motion in classical description. The form of Langevin equation is

$$\frac{\partial\phi(x,t)}{\partial t} = -k\frac{\partial S_E}{\partial\phi(x,t)} + \eta(x,t) \tag{1.7}$$

where ϕ is a non-equilibrium stochastic field and S_E is a non-equilibrium Euclidean action which is a generalized form of the Euclidean quantum action. The solution of the Langevin equation and property of the noise field are used to obtain expectation values of the stochastic fields. The partition function can be written as the stochastic field ϕ, instead of the noise field η by using Langevin equation, then it gives path integral of the stochastic fields. Then it gives a action of stochastic field which is called Fokker-Plank action S_{FP},

$$Z_{SQ} = \int [D\phi(0)]e^{-\frac{S_E[\phi(0)]}{2}}\int [D\phi]e^{-S_{FP}} \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$S_{FP} = \int_0^T dt\int d^d x[\frac{1}{4k}\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}k(\frac{\delta S_{cl}}{\delta\phi})^2 - \frac{1}{2}k\frac{\delta^2 S_{cl}}{\delta\phi^2}], \tag{1.9}$$

$$[D\phi] = \prod_{0<t<T}[D\phi(t)]. \tag{1.10}$$

The fictitious time start from $t = 0$ where system is non-equilibrium state and reach to $t = T$ where system settles into equilibrium state.

In the last 10 years, Similarity of two theory, Holographic Wilsonian RG and Stochastic quantization has been observed. [7] Especially the author of [petkou] links between quantities in the two theories. The author sets the initial time to $t = -T$ and sets the time where the system settles into equilibrium to $t = 0$ for stochastic quantization. And the author newly defines the HWRG action as

$$Z' = \int [D\phi_0] e^{-I_d[\phi_0]} Z_{GRA} \tag{1.11}$$

where I_d is the classical limit of the total action and it is used as Boltzmann weight. Now the new partition function has boundary fields and bulk fields as integral element. This feature can be captured by stochastic partition function (1.8). The author shows that if one euqate Z' and Z_{SQ}, there is one to one correspondence between S_{FP}, S_E, t in stochastic quantization and S_{tot}, $2I_d$, r in HWRG. Stochaastic quantization Moreover Fokker-Plank equation of motion has Hamiltonian formalism,

$$\partial_t \psi_S(\phi, t) = -\int d^d x H_{FP} \psi_S(\phi, t) \tag{1.12}$$

where $\psi_S = P(\phi, t) e^{\frac{S_c[\phi(t)]}{2}}$ and$P(\phi, t)$ is the probability distribution of stochatic fields. This Hamiltonian equation of motion can be captured by Hamiltonian euqation of motion of AdS/CFT (1.4).

Recently at the point of this similar matematical structure, exact relationship between two theories is suggested. (1) Equate stochastic fictitious time t with radial coordinate of AdS/CFT r. (2) Classical action and on-shell action are related as $S_c = -2I_{os}$ (3) Hamiltonian of two different theories are same. The results of the suggestion are summarized in two. First the AdS boundary action is related to the stochastic Fokker-plank lagrangian,

$$S_B = \int^t dt' \int d^d x L_{FP}[\phi(t, x)] \tag{1.13}$$

where L_{FP} is Fokker-Plank Lagrangian. Second the two point correlation of AdS/CFT and stochastic quantization are related as

$$< \phi_q(t) \phi_{q'}(t) >_H^{-1} = < \phi_q(t) \phi_{q'}(t) >_S^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 S_c}{\delta \phi_q(t) \delta_{q'}(t)}. \tag{1.14}$$

where S_c is classical action. The last relation between Stochastic Quantization and AdS/CFT make 2-point correlator of one theory to be captured by that of the other theory. The relations between two theries were examined by serveral examples for scalar fields, vector bosons, and massless fermions etc. [2, 3]

In this paper, I extend the research from massless to massive fermions, and show that the all quantities satisfy the relation. Overall procedure is alike with previous massless paper. I use similar notation to that of massless case and all calculations are performed in Euclidean signature.

In previous massless fermion case, the additional boundary term to fermion action is needed to impose Dirichlet boundary condition and Neumann boundary condition at the same time.

Likewise, I add a addtional boundary term which gives Dirichlet boundary condition and Neumann boundary condition to fermion action

$$S_{add} = -\ i\int_{r=\epsilon} d^dk\left[\ \bar{\chi}_+(k)\chi_-(-k) - i\bar{\chi}_+(k)\frac{m}{r}\frac{k^{\hat{\mu}}\gamma_\mu}{|k|^2}\chi_+(-k)\right], \tag{1.15}$$

where the first term is used in the previous massless case, however because the first term gives only Dirichlet boundary for massive case, the second term is newly added to impose Neumann boundary condition at the same time. The additional term does not affect to the overall equation of motion of fermions.

As a result of matching, I confirm that the relation of HWRG with stochastic quantization for massive fermion is exactly captured by the suggestion. In Section 2, I find the explicit solution of fermionic field, and furthermore double trace deformation in AdS/CFT. The double trace deformation is given as

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon,k) \ = \ \frac{E_\alpha(k)}{|k|^2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left(|k|\frac{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) - \Delta_{\alpha,+}I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)}{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)} - \frac{m}{r}\right), \tag{1.16}$$

where K and I are modified bessel functions. In section 3, the Stochastic calculation begin with Euclidean action E_c given from on-shell action of Section 2. I first calculate with Langevin approach, and match with HWRG result, finally could confirm the relation

$$\langle\chi_\alpha(k,t)\bar{\chi}_\beta(k,t)\rangle_H^{-1} = \langle\chi_\alpha(k,t)\bar{\chi}_\beta(k,t)\rangle_S^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar{\chi}_\alpha(-k,t)}S_c\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\chi_\beta(-k,t)}, \tag{1.17}$$

Lastly I calculate results with Fokker-Plank approach, and ensure the Fokker-Plank Hamiltonian completely same with that of HWRG. Double trace deformation gotten from Fokker-Plank approach also give the same result with the double trace deformation of AdS/CFT.

2 Holographic Wilsonian Renormalization Group of massive fermions

In this section we review the Holographic Wilsonian Renormalization Group of massive fermions in AdS_{d+1}. Calculations and discussions about the HWRG of bulk fermions are already performed in [10, 11] where develop a formalism for treating fermions within the context of the HWRG and we use valuable notations in them. Discussion about the boundary effective action for fermions is also well performed in [10, 11]

2.1 Set up for explicit calculation

The fermionic action in Euclidean AdS space is written as a bulk action S_{bulk} together with a boundary action S_B,

$$S_{tot} = S_{bulk} + S_B(\psi,\bar{\psi}). \tag{2.18}$$

where the AdS boundary is located on $r = \epsilon$ hypersurface and the boundary action is the functional of the value of fermionic fields $\psi(\epsilon)$ and $\bar{\psi}(\epsilon)$ at the boundary. The metric of the pure Euclidean AdS_{d+1} is

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}\left(dr^2 + \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{d} \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu\right), \tag{2.19}$$

where r represents AdS radial coordinate, and the Greek indices on the coordinates x represent the Euclidean d dimensional boundary indicies. The bulk action is given as follows

$$S_{bulk} = -i\int_{r>\epsilon} dr d^d x \sqrt{g}(\bar{\psi}\Gamma^M \nabla_M \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi). \tag{2.20}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric of Euclidean AdS space, m is the mass of the field ψ, and the fermionic field $\bar{\psi}$ is related to ψ with the relation $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \Gamma^{\hat{0}}$. M represents full Euclidean AdS coordinate, and the covariant derivative ∇_M is defined by

$$\nabla_M = \partial_M + \frac{1}{4}\omega_M^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}\Gamma_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $\omega_M^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$ is a spin connection, with a non-abelian global transformation which transforms the fields as follows

$$\psi \to e^{i\epsilon^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}\Gamma_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}}\psi, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\psi} \to e^{i\epsilon^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}\Gamma_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}}\bar{\psi}. \tag{2.22}$$

$\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ represent tangent space indices, $\epsilon^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$ is an arbitrary angle, and lastly $\Gamma_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$ is a generator which rotates spinors on the tagent space and is defined by $\Gamma_{\hat{A}\hat{B}} \equiv \Gamma_{\hat{A}}\Gamma_{\hat{B}} - \Gamma_{\hat{B}}\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ where $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ are defined with gamma matrices of AdS_{d+1} space: For the case where the boundary space dimension d is odd, and the bulk space dimension is even, Γ^M is defined by

$$\Gamma^{\hat{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \\ \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.23}$$

where $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ is the gamma metrix of the boundary space-time, and $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity matrix where the size of $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ and $\mathbb{I}$ is $2^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \times 2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$. For the case where the boundary space dimension d is even, and the bulk space dimension is odd, $\Gamma^{\hat{r}}$ is defined by $\gamma^{\hat{r}} \equiv \gamma^{\hat{0}}\gamma^{\hat{1}}...\gamma^{\hat{d-1}}$ and $\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ is defined by $\Gamma^{\mu} \equiv \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ where the size of gamma matrices is $2^{\frac{d}{2}} \times 2^{\frac{d}{2}}$.

We use the notations in [10], $\Phi = (gg^{rr})^{1/4}\psi$ and $\bar{\Phi} = (gg^{rr})^{1/4}\bar{\psi}$ for calculative convenience. If we put the new fields in the bulk action, the bulk action becomes as follows

$$S_{bulk} = -i\int dr d^d x \left(\bar{\Phi}\Gamma^{\hat{r}}\partial_r \Phi + \bar{\Phi}\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\partial_\mu \Phi - \sqrt{g_{rr}} m\bar{\Phi}\Phi\right), \tag{2.24}$$

where hat indices represent tangent space indices. We could decompose the fermionic fields into χ and $\bar{\chi}$ fields, with the right-handed projection operator $P_+ = (1+\Gamma^{\hat{r}})/2$ and the left-handed projection operator $P_- = (1-\Gamma^{\hat{r}})/2$, which are defined by

$$\chi_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \Gamma^{\hat{r}}}{2}\Phi, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_\pm = \frac{1 \mp \Gamma^{\hat{r}}}{2}\bar{\Phi}. \tag{2.25}$$

When the boundary space dimension d is odd, χ and $\bar{\chi}$ fields are Dirac fermions on the boundary, but are Weyl fermions in the bulk. So the fermionic field Φ can be written as decomposed form,

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.26}$$

On the other hand, when the boundary space dimension d is even, χ and $\bar{\chi}$ are Weyl fermions on the boundary, and are Dirac fermions in the bulk.

2.2 Equations of motion and the solutions of the fermionic fields

If we put the χ and $\bar{\chi}$ fields into the equation (2.24), we could get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{bulk} &= -i\int_{r>\epsilon} dr d^d k \Big[-\bar{\chi}_+(k)\partial_r\chi_-(-k) + \bar{\chi}_-(k)\partial_r\chi_+(-k) \\ &- ik_\mu\bar{\chi}_+(k)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_+(-k) - ik_\mu\,\bar{\chi}_-(k)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_-(-k) - \frac{m}{r}\bar{\chi}_+(k)\chi_-(-k) - \frac{m}{r}\bar{\chi}_-(k)\chi_+(-k)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

By applying the principle of least action to the bulk action, we can get the equations of motion for χ and $\bar{\chi}$ fields,

$$0 = \partial_r\chi_+(-k) - ik_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_-(-k) - \frac{m}{r}\chi_+(-k), \tag{2.28}$$

$$0 = -\partial_r\chi_-(-k) - ik_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_+(-k) - \frac{m}{r}\chi_-(-k), \tag{2.29}$$

$$0 = -\partial_r\bar{\chi}_-(k) - ik_\mu\bar{\chi}_+(k)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{m}{r}\bar{\chi}_-(k), \tag{2.30}$$

$$0 = \partial_r\bar{\chi}_+(k) - ik_\mu\bar{\chi}_-(k)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{m}{r}\bar{\chi}_+(k). \tag{2.31}$$

There are four kinds of the equations, however because the fields are dependent on each other with the relation $\bar{\chi}_\pm = \chi_\pm^\dagger\gamma^{\hat{0}}$, in fact, there are two independent equations. If we combine the eqauation (2.28) and (2.29), we can get the equation for χ_+,

$$r^{-m}\partial_r(r^{2m}\partial_r(r^{-m}\chi_{\alpha,+}(k))) - |k|^2\chi_{\alpha,+}(k) = 0, \tag{2.32}$$

where the α is spin space index and $|k|^2 \equiv k^\mu k_\mu$. The solution of the χ_+ equation has a form of a linear combination of modified bessel functions $K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r)$ and $I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r)$. The general soultion of the χ_+ equation is given as

$$\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,r) = \chi^{(0)}_{\alpha,+}(k)r^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \chi^{(1)}_{\alpha,+}(k)r^{\frac{1}{2}}I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r), \tag{2.33}$$

where $\chi^{(0)}_{\alpha,+}$ and $\chi^{(1)}_{\alpha,+}$ are coefficient spinors which are determined by boundary condition.

We could diagonalize $k_\mu\gamma^\mu$ because we use Euclidean metric and therefore $k_\mu\gamma^\mu$ is hermitian matrix as follows

$$[k_\mu \gamma^\mu]_{\alpha\beta} = E_\alpha(k)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.34}$$

where $E_\alpha(k)$ is an eigenvalue of the corresponding eigenvector $|\alpha\rangle$ which is

$$|\alpha\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0... \\ 1 \\ ...0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \ \alpha\text{th row.} \tag{2.35}$$

Then we can write the solution of the χ_+ equation as an eigenvector form,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\alpha,+}(k,r) &= N_{\alpha,+} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0... \\ K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}(k) I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) \\ ...0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \ \alpha\text{th row}, \\ &\equiv N_{\alpha,+} r^{\frac{1}{2}} [K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}(k) I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r)]|\alpha\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

where $N_{\alpha,+}$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,+}$ are coefficient functions of k, determined by boundary condition.

If we combine the quation (2.28) and (2.29) with respect to the χ_- field, we can get the equation for the χ_- field and the solution, and the result is similar to the case for the χ_+ field,

$$\chi_{\alpha,-}(k,r) = \chi^{(0)}_{\alpha,-}(k) r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \chi^{(1)}_{\alpha,-}(k) r^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r), \tag{2.37}$$

where $\chi^{(0)}_{\alpha,-}$ and $\chi^{(1)}_{\alpha,-}$ are coefficient spinors, and the soltuion also can be written as a vector form as follows

$$\chi_{\alpha,-}(k,r) = N_{\alpha,-} r^{\frac{1}{2}} (K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \Delta_{\alpha,-}(k) I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r))|\alpha\rangle, \tag{2.38}$$

where $N_{\alpha,-}$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,-}$ are coefficient functions of k.

We can get relations between the coefficients of the χ_+ and χ_- fields from the equaions of motion (2.28) and (2.29) as follows,

$$\chi^{(0)}_{\alpha,+}(k) = \frac{i k_\nu \gamma^{\hat{\nu}}}{|k|} \chi^{(0)}_{\alpha,-}(k) \ \text{ and } \ \chi^{(1)}_{\alpha,+}(k) = -\frac{i k_\nu \gamma^{\hat{\nu}}}{|k|} \chi^{(1)}_{\alpha,-}(k). \tag{2.39}$$

Similarly we could get the solutions for the $\bar{\chi}_\pm$ fields by combining the equations of motion (2.30) and (2.31),

$$\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k,r) = \bar{\chi}^{(0)}_{\alpha,+}(k) r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \bar{\chi}^{(1)}_{\alpha,+} r^{\frac{1}{2}}(k) I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r), \tag{2.40}$$

$$\text{and } \ \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,-}(k,r) = \bar{\chi}^{(0)}_{\alpha,-}(k) r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r) + \bar{\chi}^{(1)}_{\alpha,-}(k) r^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r), \tag{2.41}$$

where $\bar{\chi}^{(0)}_{\alpha,\pm}$ and $\bar{\chi}^{(1)}_{\alpha,\pm}$ are coefficient spinors determined by boundary condition. Also the coefficient spinors of the $\bar{\chi}_\pm$ fields are related by the equations of motion (2.30) and (2.31),

$$\bar{\chi}^{(0)}_{\alpha,+}(k) = -\frac{i k_\nu}{|k|} \bar{\chi}^{(0)}_{\alpha,-}(k) \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \ \text{ and } \ \bar{\chi}^{(1)}_{\alpha,+}(k) = \frac{i k_\nu}{|k|} \bar{\chi}^{(1)}_{\alpha,-}(k) \gamma^{\hat{\nu}}. \tag{2.42}$$

2.3 Additional boundary terms and boundary condition

There are total derivative boundary terms which are left from the principle of least action and equations of motion, given by

$$\delta S_{bulk} = i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \Big[\bar{\chi}_+(k)\delta\chi_-(-k) - \bar{\chi}_-(k)\delta\chi_+(-k) \Big]. \tag{2.43}$$

We can remove the boundary term by imposing neither the Dirichelt boundary condtion nor the Neumann boundary condition. Because additional boundary terms do not affect the equations of motion, we could add the following boundary terms to the original bulk action

$$S_b = -\, i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \Big[\bar{\chi}_+(k)\chi_-(-k) - i\bar{\chi}_+(k)\frac{m}{r}\frac{k^{\hat{\mu}}\gamma_\mu}{|k|^2}\chi_+(-k) \Big]. \tag{2.44}$$

In fact, the first term is sufficient when we want to impose the Dirichelt boundary condition, however we can impose the Neumann boundary condition simultaneously with the second term. Together with the additional boundary terms, the variation of the total action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\hat{S}) &= -i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \Big[\delta\bar{\chi}_+(k)\chi_-(-k) + \bar{\chi}_-(k)\delta\chi_+(-k) - i\delta\bar{\chi}_+(k)\frac{m}{r}\frac{k^{\hat{\mu}}\gamma_\mu}{|k|^2}\chi_+(-k) \\ &- i\bar{\chi}_+(k)\frac{m}{r}\frac{k^{\hat{\mu}}\gamma_\mu}{|k|^2}\delta\chi_+(-k) \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

and the variation can vanish by imposing the Dirichlet boundary condition or the Neumann boundary condtion on χ_+ fields. Now the new bulk action of fermions is the sum of the original action and the aditional boundary term

$$\hat{S} = S_{bulk} + S_b. \tag{2.46}$$

By the equations of motion, we can substitute the χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ fields for the χ_- and $\bar{\chi}_-$ fields respectively in the total action, in other word, we can write the total action only in terms of the χ_+ and the $\bar{\chi}_+$ fields,

$$S_{tot} = \hat{S} + S_B(\chi_+, \bar{\chi}_+), \tag{2.47}$$

where the new bulk action $\hat{S}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S} &= -\int dr d^d k \Big[\partial_r\bar{\chi}_+(k,r)\frac{k_{\hat{\mu}}\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\partial_r\chi_+(-k,r) + \bar{\chi}_+(k,r)k_{\hat{\mu}}\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_+(-k,r) \\ &+ \frac{m(m-1)}{r^2}\bar{\chi}_+(k,r)\frac{k_{\hat{\mu}}\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\chi_+(-k,r) \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

2.4 Flow equation and a two-point correlation

We get the conjugate momentums of χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ fields by definition

$$\Pi_+(k) \equiv \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}\hat{S}}{\delta\partial_r\bar{\chi}_+(-k)} = -\frac{k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\partial\chi_+(k) = \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}S_B}{\delta\bar{\chi}_+(-k)}, \tag{2.49}$$

$$\bar{\Pi}_+(k) \equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}\hat{S}}{\delta\partial_r\chi_+(-k)} = -\frac{k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\partial\bar{\chi}_+(k) = \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}S_B}{\delta\chi_+(-k)},$$

where the third equations of each array come from varying the total action and applying the principle of least action. We can get flow equation of the boundary action by derivating the equation (2.47) with respect to an arbitrary cut-off of the radial coordinate ϵ,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\epsilon S_B &= \int_{r=\epsilon} d^dk \left[\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}S_B}{\delta\chi_+(-k)}\right) k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}S_B}{\delta\bar{\chi}_+(k)}\right)\right. \\ &- \left.\bar{\chi}_+(k)k_\mu\left(1+\frac{m(m-1)}{r^2|k|^2}\right)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_+(-k)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

To solve the equation we use a trial functional of S_B given by

$$S_B = \Lambda(\epsilon) + \int d^dk\left[\bar{J}(k,\epsilon)\chi_+(-k) + \bar{\chi}_+(k)J(-k,\epsilon) + \bar{\chi}_+(k)D(k,\epsilon)\chi_+(-k)\right]. \tag{2.51}$$

where Λ is constant, and J and $\bar{J}$ and D are functions of k. The results of putting the trial functional into the flow equation (2.50) are as follows.

$$\partial_\epsilon\Lambda(\epsilon) = \int d^dk\bar{J}(k,\epsilon)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}k_\mu J(-k,\epsilon), \tag{2.52}$$

$$\partial_\epsilon\bar{J}(k,\epsilon) = \bar{J}(k,\epsilon)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}k_\mu D(k,\epsilon), \tag{2.53}$$

$$\partial_\epsilon J(-k,\epsilon) = D(k,\epsilon)\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}k_\mu J(-k,\epsilon), \tag{2.54}$$

$$\text{and}\quad \partial_\epsilon D(k,\epsilon) = -k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\left(1+\frac{m(m-1)}{r^2|k|^2}\right) + D(k,\epsilon)k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}D(k,\epsilon). \tag{2.55}$$

From the last equation, we can get the solution of the coefficient of the χ^2 term,

$$\begin{aligned}D(k,\epsilon) &= -\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{[k_\nu\gamma^{\hat{\nu}}]_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2}\partial_\epsilon\chi_{\beta,+}(k,\epsilon)\chi^{-1}_{\alpha,+}(k,\epsilon), \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha}\frac{E_\alpha(k)}{|k|^2}\partial_\epsilon\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,\epsilon)\chi^{-1}_{\alpha,+}(k,\epsilon),\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

$$\text{where}\quad \chi^{-1}_{\alpha,+}(k,\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha,+}r^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}(k)I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon))}\langle\alpha|. \tag{2.57}$$

We could get the explicit form of the coefficient of the χ^2 term by putting the explicit solution of the χ_+ fields into the solution,

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon,k) = \frac{E_\alpha(k)}{|k|^2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left(|k|\frac{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) - \Delta_{\alpha,+}I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)}{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)} - \frac{m}{r}\right). \tag{2.58}$$

And the solution of the coefficient of the χ^2 is related with the two point correlation function from the AdS/CFT correspondence as follows,

$$\langle\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\bar{\chi}_{\beta,+}(k,t)\rangle^{-1}_H = D_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.59}$$

3 Stochastic quantization for a massive fermion

Classical action On shell action can be gotten from putting the equations of motion into the fermion action. Because the equations of motion make the original bulk action disappear, the rest term is just the additional boundary terms which is

$$S_b = -\,i\int_{r=\epsilon} d^dk\left[\,\bar{\chi}_+(k)\chi_-(-k) - i\bar{\chi}_+(k)\frac{m}{r}\frac{k^{\hat{\mu}}\gamma_\mu}{|k|^2}\chi_+(-k)\right], \tag{3.60}$$

Because the first kind modified bessel function $I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}$ diverges at $\epsilon = 0$, the forms of the χ_+ fields are given by

$$\chi_+(k,r) = \chi_+^{(0)}(k)K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r), \tag{3.61}$$

$$\text{and}\quad \bar{\chi}_+(k,r) = \bar{\chi}_+^{(0)}(k)K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|r). \tag{3.62}$$

Then the on-shell action can be written with the χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ fields as follows,

$$I_{os} = -\int d^dk\bar{\chi}_+(k,\epsilon)\left(\frac{k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\right)\chi_+(-k,\epsilon)\partial_\epsilon\log\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)\right). \tag{3.63}$$

So by the suggestion $S_c = -2I_{os}$ the classical action for the Stochastic quantization S_c is given by

$$S_c = 2\int d^dk\bar{\chi}_+(k,\epsilon)\left(\frac{k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\right)\chi_+(-k,\epsilon)\partial_\epsilon\log\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)\right). \tag{3.64}$$

3.1 Langevin approach

The general forms of langevin equation for the fermion fields are

$$\frac{\partial\chi_+(k,t)}{\partial t} = -V(k)\frac{1}{2}\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}S_c}{\delta\bar{\chi}_+(k,t)} + \eta(k,t), \tag{3.65}$$

$$\frac{\partial\bar{\chi}_+(k,t)}{\partial t} = -V(k)\frac{1}{2}\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}S_c}{\delta\chi_+(-k,t)} + \bar{\eta}(k,t), \tag{3.66}$$

and the partion function of the Stochastic quantization is

$$Z = \int\mathcal{D}\eta\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}\exp\left(-\int dtd^dk\delta^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\eta}_\alpha(k,t')V^{-1}(k)\eta_\beta(-k,t')\right), \tag{3.67}$$

where $V(k)$ is a kernel which is used for convenience and it is

$$V(k) = -k_\nu\gamma^{\hat{\nu}}. \tag{3.68}$$

For explicit caculation, we put the classcial action and the kernel into the Langevin equations, then the explicit forms of Langevin equation become

$$\frac{\partial\chi_+(k,t)}{\partial t} = \partial_t\log\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)\right)\chi_+(k,t) + \eta(k,t), \tag{3.69}$$

$$\frac{\partial\bar{\chi}_+(k,t)}{\partial t} = \bar{\chi}_+(k,t)\partial_t \log\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)\right) + \bar{\eta}(k,t). \tag{3.70}$$

The solutions of the Langevin equations is as follow,

$$\chi_+(k,t) = \int^t \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)}{t'^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t')}\eta(k,t')dt', \tag{3.71}$$

$$\bar{\chi}_+(k,t) = \int^t \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)}{t'^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t')}\bar{\eta}(k,t')dt'. \tag{3.72}$$

With this explicit forms of the χ fields, we can compute the two-point correlation of the χ_+ fields in the Stochastic side,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\bar{\chi}_{\beta,+}(k',t)\rangle &= \int_{t_0}^t\int_{t_0}^t \frac{tK_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|'t)}{\tilde{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\tilde{t})\tilde{t}'^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\tilde{t}')}\langle\eta_{k,\alpha}(\tilde{t})\bar{\eta}_{k',\beta}(\tilde{t}')\rangle d\tilde{t}d\tilde{t}' \\
&= -k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}_{\alpha\beta}\delta(k+k')tK_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)\int_{t_0}^t d\tilde{t}\frac{1}{tK_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\tilde{t})^2} \\
&= -k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}_{\alpha\beta}\delta(k+k')t\left(K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t) - K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)^2\frac{I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t_0)}{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t_0)}\right) \\
&= -E_\alpha(k)\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta(k+k')tK_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)\left(1-\frac{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)}{\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

To match with the relation of two theories the initial condition of $t_0 = -\frac{1}{|k|}\left(\frac{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}}{I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1}\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ is used. We can check out the relation between stochastic quantization and Wilsonian Holographic Renormalization Group with the results.

$$\langle\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\bar{\chi}_{\beta,+}(k,t)\rangle_H^{-1} = \langle\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\bar{\chi}_{\beta,+}(k,t)\rangle_S^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(-k,t)}S_c\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\chi_{\beta,+}(-k,t)}. \tag{3.74}$$

3.2 Fokker-Plank approach

The different way that can be used to compute the double trace operator is the Fokker-Plank way. The general form of the Fokker-Plank Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{FP} &= \frac{\partial\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k,t)}{\partial t}[V^{-1}]^{\alpha\beta}(k)\frac{\partial\chi_\beta(-k,t)}{\partial t} \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k,t)\partial_t^2\log\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)\right)\chi_\beta(-k,t) + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}S_c}{\delta\chi_\alpha}V_{\alpha\beta}(k)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}S_c}{\delta\bar{\chi}_\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

We use the same kernel $V(k)$ with the Langevin's approach. As a result of putting the kernel and the classical action into the Fokker-Plank Lagrangian, the Fokker-plank action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{FP} &= -\int dtd^dk \left[\partial_t\bar{\chi}_+(k,t)\frac{k_{\hat{\mu}}\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\partial_t\chi_+(-k,t) + \bar{\chi}_+(k,t)k_{\hat{\mu}}\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}\chi_+(-k,t)\right. \\ &+ \left.\frac{m(m-1)}{t^2}\bar{\chi}_+(k,t)\frac{k_{\hat{\mu}}\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|^2}\chi_+(-k,t)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.76}$$

The Fokker-Plank action is same with the newly defined bulk action in the HWRG side if we put the condition $r = t$. We could compute equations of motion for the χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ fields by applying the principle of least action and the result for the χ_+ field is

$$\partial_t^2\chi_\alpha(k,t) - \left(k^2 + \frac{m(m-1)}{t^2}\right)\chi_\alpha(k,t) = 0. \tag{3.77}$$

The solutions of the equations of motion also are given as a linear combination of the modified bessel functions. The result of inserting the equations of motion into the Fokker-Plank action is

$$S_{FP} = -\int d^dk \left(\bar{\chi}(k,t')\frac{k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{k^2}\frac{\partial\chi(-k,t')}{\partial t'}\right)\Big|_{t'=t_0}^{t'=t}. \tag{3.78}$$

For explicit computation of the boundary term, we take out dependancy of t' from the χ_+ field,

$$\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,t') = \zeta^{-1}_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\zeta_{\alpha,+}(k,t')\chi_{\alpha,+}(k,t), \tag{3.79}$$

$$\text{where}\quad \zeta_{\alpha,+}(k,t) = \tilde{N}_\alpha[K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t) + \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(k)I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)]|\alpha\rangle. \tag{3.80}$$

Also the $\bar{\chi}_+$ field can be written as

$$\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k,t') = \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\bar{\zeta}^{-1}_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,+}(k,t'), \tag{3.81}$$

$$\text{where}\quad \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,+}\alpha(k,t) = \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,0}(k)K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t) + \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,1}(k)I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|t)(|k|t), \tag{3.82}$$

Then by putting the explicit forms, we can compute the Fokker-Plank action,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{FP} &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\int d^dk\left[\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\left(\frac{-[k_\mu\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}]_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2}\right)\zeta^{-1}_{\beta,+}(-k,t)\frac{\partial\zeta_{\beta,+}(-k,t)}{\partial t}\chi_{\beta,+}(-k,t)\right], \qquad (3.83)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\int d^dk\left[\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\left(\frac{-E(k)\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2}\zeta^{-1}_{\beta,+}(-k,t)\frac{\partial\zeta_{\beta,+}(-k,t)}{\partial t}\right)\chi_{\beta,+}(-k,t)\right], \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\int d^dk\left[\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k,t)\left(\frac{E(k)\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2}|k|\frac{K_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) - \Delta_{\beta,+}I_{m+\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)}{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\beta,+}I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}(|k|\epsilon)}\right.\right. \\ &- \left.\left.\frac{m}{r}\right)\chi_{\beta,+}(-k,t)\right], \\ &= \int d^dk\bar{\chi}_+(k,t)\mathcal{D}(k,t)\chi_+(-k,t), \end{aligned}$$

where we impose the condition on t_0 which is the same with the Langevin approach,

$$t_0 = -\frac{1}{|k|}\left(\frac{K_{m-\frac{1}{2}}}{I_{m-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{-1}\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha. \tag{3.84}$$

and the initial condition vanish the boundary term at $t = t_0$. $\mathcal{D}(k,t)$ is the coefficient of the χ^2 term of the HWRG side, when we change $r \to t$. Comparing both theories, the constant term and χ and $\bar{\chi}$ terms disappear, because of the initial condtion.

Acknowledgement

S.P.M would like to thank his adviser Jae-hyuk Oh for many useful discussions. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIP) (No. 2016R1C1B1010107).

References

[1] J. H. Oh and D. P. Jatkar, JHEP **1211**, 144 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2012)144 [arXiv:1209.2242 [hep-th]].

[2] J. H. Oh, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29**, 1450082 (2014) doi:10.1142/S0217751X14500821 [arXiv:1310.0588 [hep-th]].

[3] D. P. Jatkar and J. H. Oh, JHEP **1310**, 170 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2013)170 [arXiv:1305.2008 [hep-th]].

[4] V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus, A. E. Lawrence and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 104021 (1999) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.104021 [hep-th/9808017].

[5] I. Heemskerk and J. Polchinski, JHEP **1106**, 031 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)031 [arXiv:1010.1264 [hep-th]].

[6] T. Faulkner, H. Liu and M. Rangamani, JHEP **1108**, 051 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2011)051 [arXiv:1010.4036 [hep-th]].

[7] D. S. Mansi, A. Mauri and A. C. Petkou, Phys. Lett. B **685**, 215 (2010) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.01.033 [arXiv:0912.2105 [hep-th]].

[8] P.H. Damgaard and H. Huffel, Stochastic quantization. PHYSICS REPORTS (Review Section of Physics Letters) 152, Nos. 5 and 6 (1987)

[9] E. Witten, hep-th/0112258.

[10] D. Elander, H. Isono and G. Mandal, JHEP **1111**, 155 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)155 [arXiv:1109.3366 [hep-th]].

[11] J. N. Laia and D. Tong, JHEP **1111**, 131 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)131 [arXiv:1108.2216 [hep-th]].